# 510(k) SUBSTANTIAL EQUIVALENCE DETERMINATION DECISION SUMMARY DEVICE ONLY TEMPLATE

#### **A.** 510(k) Number

k060351

#### **B.** Purpose for Submission:

New device

#### C. Measurand:

Oxycodone

#### **D.** Type of Test:

Qualitative immunoassay, lateral flow immunochromatographic

#### E. Applicant:

MedTox Diagnostics

## F. Proprietary and Established Names:

MedTox Oxycodone

#### **G.** Regulatory Information:

1. Regulation section:

21 CFR 862.3650, Enzyme Immunoassay, Opiates

2. Classification:

Class II

3. Product Code:

DJG

4. Panel:

Toxicology (91)

#### H. Intended Use:

1. Intended use(s):

Refer to Indications for use below.

# 2. <u>Indication(s) for use:</u>

The MEDTOX® OXYCODONE Test System uses immunochromatographic test strips for the rapid, qualitative detection of oxycodone in human urine. It is intended for prescription use.

The test detects oxycodone at concentrations of 100 ng/mL and above.

The MEDTOX® OXYCODONE assay provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any drug of abuse test result.

### 3. Special condition for use statement(s):

The MEDTOX® OXYCODONE provides only a preliminary analytical test result. A more specific alternate chemical method must be used in order to obtain a confirmed analytical result. Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) is the preferred confirmatory method. Clinical consideration and professional judgment should be applied to any drug of abuse test result.

The assay is intended for prescription use in point-of-care settings.

Tests for oxycodone cannot distinguish between abused drugs and certain prescribed medications.

Certain foods or medications may interfere with tests for oxycodone and cause false positive results.

## 4. Special instrument Requirements:

Not applicable. The device is a visually read single-use device.

# I. Device Description:

The product is a single-use device in a cassette format. The device includes the immunochromatographic strip enclosed in plastic, a plastic dropper for dispensing urine, and the package insert. At one end is the sample well where the urine sample is applied. The test reaction is initiated by movement of the sample through the test strip. In the middle of the device is a read window with a test line for oxycodone and a control line. Above the read window are interpretations for negative or nonnegative for the test line and valid or invalid for the control line.

Description of the test antibody: monoclonal mouse antibody against oxycodone.

Description of the control line antibody: rabbit polyclonal anti-mouse.

# J. Substantial Equivalence Information:

1. Predicate device name(s):

DRI Oxycodone Assay

2. <u>Predicate 510(k) number(s):</u> k040411

#### 3. Comparison with predicate:

Both devices are for the qualitative determination of the same analyte in the same matrix, and utilize the same cutoff concentration. The predicate uses

liquid reagents on automated clinical chemistry analyzers. The candidate device is visually read and designed for single use only.

The reagent formulations vary between the two devices.

Similarities				
Item	Predicate	Device		
Cutoff	Same	100 ng/mL		
Cross-reactivity to opiates and oxycodone metabolites other than oxymorphone	Less than 1%	2% or less		
Test Antibodies	Same	Mouse monoclonal anti- oxycodone		

Differences				
Item	Device			
Methodology	Automated homogeneous	Lateral flow		
Wiethodology	enzyme immunoassay	immunochromatographic		
Procedure	Automated	Manual		
	Controls must be run			
Controls	separately in the same	Control provided on each		
Controls	manner as patient	strip		
	samples			
Calibration Required	Yes	No		
Cross-reactivity to				
oxymorphone (primary	103%	50%		
metabolite)				

#### K. Standard/Guidance Document Referenced (if applicable):

The sponsor did not reference any standards in this submission.

# L. Test Principle:

The test employs lateral flow immunochromatographic technology.

Drug in the sample and drug-labeled conjugate (containing a chromagen) compete for antibody binding sites in the test area of the test strip. Binding of drug in the sample causes the absence of a line at the test area, i.e., a positive result. When drug is not present in the sample, the drug-labeled conjugate binds at the test line, resulting in formation of a line, i.e., a negative result. The absence or presence of the line is determined visually by the operator.

The device also has an internal process control which indicates that an adequate volume of sample has been added and that the immunochromatographic strip is intact.

## M. Performance Characteristics (if/when applicable):

#### 1. Analytical performance:

# a. Precision/Reproducibility:

The sponsor performed two Precision/Reproducibility studies. The first was conducted at the sponsor's facility.

Specimen description: drug free urine spiked with oxycodone

Number of days: three Replicates per day: two Lots of product used: one Number of operators: three Operator: manufacturer staff Testing Facility: manufacturer

Results of the study are presented below:

Oxycodone Precision Study Results at Sponsor's Facility

Concentration of	Number of	Results
sample, ng/mL	determination	ns # Neg/ #Pos
0	54	54/0
25	54	54/0
50	54	50/4
75	54	14/40
100	54	4/50
125	54	1/53
150	54	0/54

The second precision study was performed at three point of care sites.

Specimen description: drug free urine spiked with oxycodone

Number of days: one

Replicates per day: ninety (six concentrations X fifteen replicates

per concentration)

Lots of product used: one Number of operators: nine

Operators: POC staff, DOA Collection Center Staff, Rehabilitation

Center Staff

Testing Facilities: Three POC sites

Results of the study are presented below:

Oxycodone Precision Study Results at Point of Care Sites

Concentrati on of sample,	Number of determinations		Results # Neg/ #Pos			
ng/mL	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
0	15	15	15	15/0	15/0	15/0
25	15	15	15	15/0	15/0	15/0
50	15	15	15	13/2	15/0	14/1
100	15	15	15	0/15	3/12	3/12
125	15	15	15	0/15	2/13	1/14
150	15	15	15	0/15	0/15	0/15

#### b. Linearity/assay reportable range:

Not applicable. The assay is intended for qualitative use.

### c. Traceability (controls, calibrators, or method):

External control materials are recommended but are not specifically identified in the labeling.

The device has an internal process control. Users are instructed to follow federal, state, and local guidelines when determining when to run external controls.

#### d. Detection limit:

Sensitivity of this assay is characterized by validating performance around the claimed cutoff concentration (100 ng/mL) of the assay, including a determination of the lowest concentration of drug that is capable of producing a positive result.

This information appears in the precision section, above.

#### e. Analytical specificity:

Cross-reactivity was established by spiking various concentrations of similarly structured drug compounds into drug-free urine. By analyzing various concentration of each compound the sponsor determined the concentration of the drug that produced a response approximately equivalent to the cutoff concentration of the assay. Results of those studies appear in the table(s) below:

Drug compound	Response equivalent to cutoff in ng/mL	Percent Cross- Reactivity
6-monoacetylmorphine	Negative at 100,000	< 1%
Apomorphine	Negative at 100,000	< 1%

Page 6 of 12

Drug compound	Response equivalent to cutoff in ng/mL	Percent Cross- Reactivity
Codeine	5,000	2%
Dihydrocodeine	10,000	1%
Ethylmorphine	5,000	2%
Heroin	Negative at 100,000	< 1%
Hydrocodone	75,000	< 1%
Hydromorphone	50,000	< 1%
Levorphanol	Negative at 50,000	< 1%
Morphine	50,000	< 1%
Morphine-3-β-glucuronide	Negative at 100,000	< 1%
Morphine-6-β-glucuronide	Negative at 100,000	< 1%
Nalorphine	Negative at 100,000	< 1%

Drug compound	Response equivalent to cutoff in ng/mL	Percent Cross- Reactivity	
Naloxone	50,000	< 1%	
Naltrexone	Negative at 100,000	< 1%	
Norcodeine	100,000	< 1%	
Oxymorphone	200	50%	
Thebaine	Negative at 100,000	< 1%	

The following compounds were evaluated for potential positive and/or negative interference with the assay. To evaluate for interference the sponsor prepared two control samples that consisted of drug-free urine spiked with 25 ng/mL (to test for positive interference) and 150 ng/mL (to test for negative interference) of oxycodone. Next, 100  $\mu g/mL$  of all of the potentially interfering compounds were added to separate aliquots of the control samples and analyzed. There were no deviations from the expected results for the following compounds:

Acetylsalicylic Acid Acetaminophen Brompheniramine Caffeine Carbamazepine Chlorpheniramine

Chlorpheniramine Cocaine

Doxylamine Dextromethorphan 5,5 Diphenylhydantoin

Ibuprofen
Phenobarbital
d-Pseudoephedrine
Salicylic Acid

The sponsor did a second interference study which tested for positive interference only. It is noted that these compounds were spiked into drug-free urine (zero concentration oxycodone) only. All of the compounds listed below were spiked in at a concentration of 100 µg/mL with the following exceptions: Alprazolam @ 25 µg/mL, Alprazolam, 1-Hydroxy @ 10 µg/mL, Buprenorphine @ 10 µg/mL, Fentanyl @ 10 µg/mL, 11-hydroxy- $\Delta^9$ -THC @ 10 µg/mL, Lorazepam glucuronide @ 10 µg/mL, 11-Nor-9-carboxy  $\Delta^9$ -THC @10 µg/mL, Olanzapine @ 10 µg/mL, Oxazepam glucuronide @ 10 µg/mL, and Triazolam, 1-hydroxy @ 10 µg/mL. There were no deviations from the expected negative results.

Acecainide Caffeine Dextromethorpha Acetaminophen Cannabidiol Acetylsalicylic Cannabinol Diazepam Acid Captopril Diclofenac Allobarbital Carbamazepine Diethylpropion Alprazolam Carbamazepine-Diflunisal Alprazolam, 1-,11 epoxide Digoxin Carisoprodol Hydroxy Dimenhydrinate p-Aminobenzoic Cephalexin 1.3-Acid Chloral Hydrate Dimethylbarbitur Chloramphenicol 7-Aminoic acid Chlordiazepoxide Diphenhydramin clonazepam Chloroquine 7-Amino-Chlorothiazide Domperidone flunitrazepam Aminoglutethimid Chlorpheniramine Dopamine Chlorpromazine Doxepin Chlorprothixene Doxylamine 1-Aminopyrine Amitriptyline Clobazam Ecgonine Amobarbital Clomipramine Ecgonine Methyl Amoxapine Clonazepam Ester Amoxicillin Clonidine **EDDP** d-Amphetamine Clorazepate Efavirenz 1-Amphetamine Clozapine Ampicillin Cocaine **EMDP** Aprobarbital Cortisone **Ephedrine** 1-Ascorbic Acid Cotinine Equilin Aspartame Cyclobenzaprine Erythromycin Atenolol Cyclopentobarbit Estrone Atropine Sulfate al Ethanol Barbital Deoxycorticoster Fenfluramine Barbituric Acid one Fenoprofen Benzilic Acid Desalkylflurazep Fentanvl Benzoic Acid am Flunitrazepam Benzocaine Desipramine Fluoxetine Benzoylecgonine Norchlordiazepo Lurazepam Benzphetamine Furosemide xide Benztropine Desmethylflunitr **Fuvoxamine** Brompheniramine Gentisic Acid azepam Buprenorphine Glutethimide Desmethylvenlafl Bupropion axine Guaiacol Glyceryl Butabarbital Dexamethasone Ether Butalbital

Haloperidol	I-	Perphenazine
Hexobarbital	Methamphetamin	Phenallymal
Hippuric acid	e	Phenacetin
Hydralazine	Methaqualone	Phencyclidine
Hydrochlorothiazi	Methcathinone	3
de		Phendimetrazine
Hydrocortisone	Methocarbamol	Phenelzine
Hydroxybupropion	Methoxyphenami	Phenethylamine
	ne	Pheniramine
Hydroxyhippuric acid	Methylphenidate	Phenmetrazine
	Methylprylon	
1-11-Hydroxy- $\Delta^9$ -	Metoprolol	Phenobarbital
THC	Midazolam	Phenothiazine
p-	Mirtazapine	Phentermine
Hydroxyphenobar	Nalidixic Acid	Phentoin
bital	Naproxen	Phenylbutazone
4-	Niacinamide	Phenylephrine
	Nicotine	Phenylpropanolam
Hydroxyphencycli	Nifedipine	ine
dine	-	Piroxicam
3-	Nitrazepam	Prazosin
Hydroxytyramine	Nitrofurantoin	Prednisolone
Hydroxyzine	Norclomipramine	Prednisone
Ibuprofen	Nordiazepam	Procaine
Imipramine	Nordoxepin	
Iproniazid	Norethindrone	Procainamide
(R)-Isoproterenol	Norlysergic Acid	Prochlorperazine
Îsoxsuprine	Normeperidine	Promazine
Ketamine	Normanavirahan	Promethazine
Ketoprofen	Norpropoxyphen	Propoxyphene
Labetalol	e	Propranolol
Lidocaine	I-	Protriptyline
Lithium carbonate	Norpseudoephedr	d-Pseudoephedrine
	ine	Pyrilamine
Loperamide	11-Nor-9-	Quetiapine
Lorazepam	carboxy- $\Delta^9$ -THC	Quinidine
Lorazepam	11-Nor-9-	Ranitidine
glucuronide	carboxy- $\Delta$ <sup>8</sup> -	Riboflavin
Loxapine	THC	
Lysergic Acid	Nortriptyline	Rifampin
Lysergic Acid	Noscapine	Salicylic Acid
Diethylamide	Nylidrin	Secobarbital
Diethylannide	Octopamine	Selegiline
Maprotiline	Ofloxacin	Serotonin
MDA	Olanzapine	Sertraline
MDEA	Omeprazole	Sildenafil
MDMA	-	Sulfamethazine
Melanin	Orphenadrine	Sulindac
	Oxalic Acid	Talbutal
Meperidine	Oxaprosin	Temazepam
NG 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Oxazepam	Tetracycline
Mephobarbital	Oxazepam	$\Delta^9$ -
Mepivacaine	glucuronide	Tetrahydrocannabi
Mesoridazine	Oxolinic Acid	nol
Methadone	Oxymetazoline	$\Lambda^8$ -
1	•	<del>_</del>
d-	Papaverine	Tetrahydrocannabi
Methamphetamin	hydrochloride	nol
e	Penicillin G	Tetrahydrozoline
		Theophylline
	Pentazocine	Thiamine
	Pentobarbital	Thiopental

Triazolam, 1-	Tryptophan
hydroxy	Tyramine
Trifluoperazine	Tyrosine
Trimethoprim	Valproic Acid
Trimipramine	Venlafaxine
Tripelennamine	Verapamil
Tryptamine	Zomepirac
	hydroxy Trifluoperazine Trimethoprim Trimipramine Tripelennamine

There is the possibility that other substances and/or factors not listed above may interfere with the test and cause false results, e.g., technical or procedural errors.

To test for potential positive/and or negative interference from endogenous conditions the sponsor performed the following studies:

To assess the effects of pH on the assay, the sponsor prepared samples from a pH of 4-9 and then to separate aliquots spiked in oxycodone at concentrations of 25 and 150 ng/mL. There were no deviations from the expected results.

The sponsor did a similar study with specific gravity values of 1.003, 1.005, 1.010, 1.015, 1.020, 1.025, 1.030, and 1.035 with urine samples spiked at 25 and 150 ng/mL. There were no deviations from the expected results.

For other endogenous substances, the sponsor performed a study which tested for positive interference only. It is noted that these compounds were spiked into drug-free urine (zero concentration oxycodone) only. The following table lists the compounds, the concentration that was spiked into drug-free urine, and the result.

Compound	Concentration	MEDTOX Oxycodone result
Acetaldehyde	100 μg/ml	NEG
Acetone	100 μg/ml	NEG
Albumin, human	20 mg/ml	NEG
Bilirubin	200 μg/ml	NEG
Cholesterol	100 μg/ml	NEG
Creatinine	100 μg/ml	NEG
d,l-Thyroxin	100 μg/ml	NEG
Epinephrine	100 μg/ml	NEG
B-Estradiol	100 μg/ml	NEG
Estriol	100 μg/ml	NEG
Glucose, Standard Solution	100 μg/ml	NEG
Hemoglobin, human	100 μg/ml	NEG
Sodium Chloride	100 μg/ml	NEG

Compound	Concentration	MEDTOX Oxycodone result
Tetra hydrocortisone	100 μg/ml	NEG
Uric Acid	100 μg/ml	NEG

# f. Assay cut-off:

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) has not recommended a cutoff concentration for oxycodone. The sponsor's claimed cutoff is 100 ng/mL.

Characterization of how the device performs analytically around the claimed cutoff concentration appears in the precision section, above.

### 2. Comparison studies:

a. Method comparison with predicate device:
 The candidate device was compared both to a reference method, GC/MS, and to the predicate device.

A total of 161 samples (116 negative and 45 positive) were evaluated by the candidate device and by GC/MS and/or the predicate device.

Sample description: Unaltered clinical urine samples were evaluated. 37 additional diluted samples were also included in the study. The samples were prepared by diluting clinical samples with high drug concentrations with drug-free urine. This was done in order to obtain samples near the cutoff concentration of the assay, because the sponsor was not able to obtain unaltered samples near the cutoff.

Sample selection: Samples previously analyzed by the predicate device were selected to be analyzed by the candidate device. Samples were chosen for the study based on whether they screened positive or negative by the predicate device.

Only those samples found positive by the predicate device were analyzed by GC/MS. A portion of samples having drug concentrations that were below the cutoff concentration of the assay were also evaluated by GC/MS.

The study included an adequate number of samples that contained drugs near to the cutoff concentration of the assay. Approximately 10% of the study samples are evenly distributed between plus and minus 50% of the claimed cutoff concentration

Number of study sites: three Type of study site(s): POC setting

Operator description: POC staff, DOA Collection Center Staff, Rehabilitation Center Staff

#### Candidate Device Results vs. stratified GC/MS Values

			Near Cutoff	Near Cutoff	
	Negative		Negative	<b>Positive</b>	<b>High Positive</b>
Candidate	by	Concentration	(Between	(Between the	(greater than
Device	Immunoassay	of up to the	50% below	cutoff and	50% above
Results	Predicate	cutoff -50%	the cutoff and	50% above	the cutoff
	Device		the cutoff	the cutoff	concentration)
			concentration)	concentration)	
Positive	0	2	2	6	37
Negative	103	5	4	1	1

GC/MS values used to categorize samples in this table are determined by adding together the concentration of oxycodone plus 50% of the concentration of oxymorphone, based on the sponsor's cross-reactivity studies.

% Agreement among positives is 96%

The sponsor also performed a method comparison study at their own facility using many of the same samples as in the POC study above. Results between the two studies were similar.

#### b. Matrix comparison:

Not applicable. The assay is intended for only one sample matrix.

#### 3. Clinical studies:

a. Clinical sensitivity:

Not applicable. Clinical studies are not typically submitted for this device type.

b. Clinical specificity:

Not applicable. Clinical studies are not typically submitted for this device type.

*c. Other clinical supportive data (when a and b are not applicable):* 

#### 4. Clinical cut-off:

Not applicable.

5. Expected values/Reference range:

Not applicable.

<sup>%</sup> Agreement among negatives is 97%

# N. Proposed Labeling:

The labeling is sufficient and it satisfies the requirements of 21 CFR Part 809.10.

# O. Conclusion:

The submitted information in this premarket notification is complete and supports a substantial equivalence decision.